UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,001	03/24/2004	Jochem Van De Weerd	TS0633 (US)	4655
23632 SHELL OIL CO	7590 10/31/200 <b>DMPANY</b>	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2463	_	MCAVOY, ELLEN M		
HOUSTON, TX 772522463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,001	DE WEERD, JOCHEM VAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen M. McAvoy	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13     2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th     3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be sufficient to by the Examiration is objected to be a declaration in the Examiration is objected to be a declaration in the Examiration is objected to be a declaration in the Examiration is objected to be a declaration in the Examiration is objected to be a declaration in the Examiration is objected to be a declaration in the Examiration in the Examiration is objected to be a declaration in the Examiration in	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	oate	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Final Rejection of 21 September 2007 has been withdrawn in view of further consideration. Specifically, the Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 filed on 25 June 2007 swears that applicant's invention was made prior to September 17, 2001, the priority date of the WO 03/024910 patent. Additionally, the Declaration also antedates the Kaizik et al reference (6,627,782) which has a filing date of 08 February 2002. Accordingly, the Final rejection of claims 1-11 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolinger (6,037,506) in combination with Kaizik et al (6,627,782) is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolinger (6,037,506) or Pinke (4,210,608) in combination with Eschard (GB 797,989).

As previously set forth, Bolinger discloses a process for producing hydroformylation products by reacting olefinic feed with hydrogen and carbon monoxide in the presence of a catalyst to form aldehydes and alcohols. Applicant's invention differs by converting the aldehydes and alcohols into 1-olefins with an increased carbon chain length compared to the starting olefinic compound. However, such a process step is known in the art as evidenced by Eschard. Pinke discloses a process for producing hydroformylation products by reacting linear

monoolefinic compounds with carbon monoxide and hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst to form linear primary aldehydes and alcohols. Applicant's invention differs by converting the aldehydes and alcohols into 1-olefins with an increased carbon chain length compared to the starting olefinic compound. However, such a process step is known in the art as evidenced by Eschard.

Eschard discloses a method for the continuous production of olefinic compounds by the acid catalyzed dehydration of alcohols. Eschard teaches that the dehydration is carried out in the presence of a polar solvent. Having the prior art references before the inventor at the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to have produced 1-olefins from the aldehydes and alcohols produced by the process of Bolinger and Pinke if so desired by the addition of a known dehydration step taught in Eschard.

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,001 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ellen M McAvoy/

Ellen M McAvoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

EMcAvoy October 25, 2008